itself. There are large scale drug pushers in this state, and there are suppliers, there are businessmen I believe, I believe that there are bankers, and I believe that there are people who donote to the campaigns of highly placed politicians in this state at the county level and at the city level who are involved in the drug traffic. These things can not be proven. We know that tremendous amounts of money go into the drug trade. It is now being stated more openly that pharmaceutical houses are responsible to a great extent for pushing drugs. They over manufacture certain substances the ease of which they can be obtained. So when we pass a bill like this we are not getting to the source of it at all. Let a person who is involved in selling dope pretend to sell something which is not dope and the offense in the underworld carries its own punishment. The offense will carry its own punishment. Let a dope pusher who is supposed to be selling heroine sell something else and he can't stay in town, so in a sense, there is a certain amount of self policing even among the thugs. Not because there is honor but because of profit consideration. I do not think that the passage of this bill would do enough good to over balance the harm that I see it doing in terms of unethical practices of law enforcement officials. When you create a crime by law, you have to clearly establish what that law or crime is what the

(end belt #2)

(start belt #3)

corpus delicti will consist of for the purposes of proving that crime in court. When you can take a legitimate substance which you can find a in anybodys kitchen and say because a law enforcement officer says that he gave somebody some money for it and all he has to do is to produce the sugar or whatever the substance is and go to court and say that so and so sold it to me and that produces a felony and judges and courts go along to a great extent what police officers say, even when circumstantial evidence that surrounds what circumstances or situations which show that the officer is not telling the truth, we can not give this type of legislation. I do not think that if we consider this very seriously not in isolation of terms of one bill but in the total complex situation involved in the control of drugs we would see that it is a waste of time to pass a bill like this. I still think that it ought to be indefinitely postponed. I'm not even concerned about the things that Senator Fowler mentioned, where somebody sells alfalfa for marijuana. I think that if somebody is going to jump into this muddy water there are certain risks that are entailed. Let them be entailed from the person who makes the purchase thinking is one thing and find out it is something else. That I think would police that area enough.

PRESIDENT: Senator Fellman.

SENATOR FELLMAN: Mr. President, members of the legislature, I would like to try an unravel what I think Senator Chambers has mixed up terrificly in this last discussion. I'm not trying to speak for the TV cameras or for a race for Governor I would like to take to the members of the legislature here. I would like you to consider who we are talking about. The crime that would be committed here is not to the person who posesses the substance, it is the person who sells the substance and says, that this is a drug. If you walk down the street